

Dose (mg/kg):		0	0.2	6	30
Total		275	288	253	230
Mean (SD)		13.8 (2.10)	14.4 (1.79)	12.7 (3.44)	11.5 (4.77)
Mean Delivery Ratio (%)		90.7	93.6	94.2	88.5
Sex Ratio (male/female)		127/148	146/142	133/120	120/110
Mean (SD) weight of Newborns					
Male		6.3 (0.43)	6.0 (0.42)*	6.0 (0.49)*	5.5 (0.54)**
Female		5.9 (0.48)	5.7 (0.39)	5.8 (0.42)	5.3 (0.49)**
Total No. of Stillborn		5	3	21**	47**
Total No. of Pups that Died within 4 Days After Birth		6	3	54**	95**
<i>Until Weaning</i>					
Day 4 Survival Before Adjustment		269	285	199	135
Day 4					
Male		97	99	77	62
Female		101	99	82	60
Day 7					
Male		96	99	72	59
Female		101	98	81	55
Day 14					
Male		94	92	59	45
Female		101	98	67	37
Day 21					
Male		94	92	58	43
Female		100	98	64	37
Survival Ratio (%)					
Male		96.8	93.0	74.7*	61.6**
Female		99.0	99.0	79.2*	53.8**

*, ** Statistically Significant $p < .05$ or $.01$.

CONCLUSION

The Sponsor concluded that the maximum no effect level for pups and dams is between 0.2 and 6 mg/kg under the conditions of this study. There were no effects on reproductive indices.

27. Reproduction test of Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A) Foster Nursing Study in Rats

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Study Title: Reproduction test of Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A) Foster Nursing Study in Rats

Sponsor Study No.: — D-4-5
Laboratory Study No.: Not stated
Study Dates: October 1976 - January 1977
Report Date: July 1982
Test Facility: _____

GLP Status: Not compliant. Performed prior to establishment of GLPs.
NDA Volume:Page 63:1

METHODS

Test Article: (BD 40A)
Batch No: Lot 1
Purity: Not stated
Control Article: 0.5% methylcellulose
Purity: Not stated
Species/Strain: Slc: SD rats
Route: Oral gavage

This foster nursing study was conducted to elucidate some of the findings in a previous Segment III study. CGP 25827A was administered to 2 groups (S₁ and S₂) of 15 pregnant rats at a dose of 6 mg/kg and a volume of 5 ml/kg. Two additional groups (C₁ and C₂) of rats received the vehicle under an identical dosing regimen. Dosing occurred on gestation days 17 - 21. Upon delivery cross fostering groups were established as follows: C₁ dams fostered pups from C₂; C₂ dams fostered pups from S₁; S₁ dams fostered pups from S₂; and S₂ dams fostered pups from C₁.

RESULTS

No obvious effect on dams or offspring were observed when control groups cross fostered. Dams treated with CGP 25827A had increased body weight during lactation. Offspring of dams treated with CGP 25827A had increased stillbirth rate, an increased neonatal death rate, both prior to and after cross fostering, and a decreased birth weight regardless of whether they were raised by treated or control dams. Treated offspring raised by control dams recovered in body weight gain until they were comparable to control pups by day 14 postpartum. An inhibition of body weight gain after day 14 was observed in control offspring raised by treated dams.

APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL

Effects of BD40A on F₁ Offspring After Cross Fostering

Dose Group:		Control	Control	6 mg/kg	6 mg/kg
		(C1)	(C2)	(S1)	(S2)
Alive Day 0 After Cross Fostering		205	188	202	213
No. of pups that died within 4 days after birth (%)		6 (2.9)	76 (40.4)**	66 (32.7) **	6 (2.8)
<i>No. of Survivors Until Day 35 After Birth</i>					
Day 4 Survival Before Adjustment					
	Total	199	112	136	207
	Male	95	59	66	109
	Female	104	53	70	98
Day 4	Male	73	51	61	76
	Female	77	52	64	74
Day 7	Male	73	47	61	74
	Female	76	50	62	73
Day 14	Male	70	40	49	70
	Female	75	44	52	71
Day 21	Male	70	39	48	69
	Female	75	44	52	71
Day 28	Male	30	19	25	29
	Female	30	20	25	30
Day 35	Male	30	19	25	29
	Female	30	20	25	30
Survival Ratio (%)					
	Male	95.9	76.5**	78.7**	90.8
	Female	97.4	84.6**	81.3**	95.9

*, ** Statistically Significant $p < .05$ or $.01$.

CONCLUSION

These results indicate that CGP 25827A elicits peri- and postnatal toxic effects in rats under the conditions of this study.

APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL

BEST POSSIBLE COPY

Summary of Reproductive Toxicology Studies

Formoterol fumarate did not have any adverse effect on reproductive or developmental indices under the conditions of study. However it was shown to elicit peri- and postnatal toxic effects in rats at levels of 6 mg/kg and above. Several mechanisms of this toxicity have been proposed by the Sponsor, but none have been shown definitively. It appears that since only pups exposed *in utero* were affected in the cross fostering study that pup mortality is not a consequence of exposure through lactation or of suppressed milk production by treated dams. Rather, the observed peri- and postnatal mortality is likely attributed to *in utero* exposure of the fetuses when the dams are treated with CGP 25827A at levels of 6 mg/kg and above.

GENETIC TOXICOLOGY

Formoterol fumarate was tested in an extensive battery of genotoxicity and mutagenicity assays and was consistently found to be negative. The following assays were conducted.

In vitro assays:

- mutagenicity in microorganisms
- reversion in bacteria
- *salmonella*/mammalian-microsome mutagenicity
- V79 Chinese hamster point mutation test
- unscheduled DNA synthesis repair in rat hepatocytes
- unscheduled DNA synthesis repair in human fibroblasts
- transformation assay in mammalian fibroblasts
- chromosome analysis of CHO cells

In vivo assays:

- mouse micronucleus test
- rat micronucleus test
- chromosome analysis in somatic cells of Chinese hamsters.

Details of each of the tests are included in this review.

28. Mutagenicity Tests of Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A) in Microorganisms

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Study Title:	Mutagenicity Tests of Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A) in Microorganisms
Sponsor Study No.:	D-7-1
Study Dates:	Not stated
Report Date:	October 27, 1981.
Test Facility:	

GLP Status: Non-compliant; deficiencies identified
NDA Volume:Page 63:75

METHODS

Test Materials

Test Articles: Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A)
Decomposition product (BD 177)
Batch No: Not stated
Vehicle Control: Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO)
Positive Control: Nitrofurazone; 0.1% Phenobarbital
Negative Control: Kanamycin sulfate

Test System

Assay System: Regenerative test – M45 strain (recombinant deficient) and
H17 *bacillus subtilis*
Reversion test – *Salmonella typhimurium* strains TA98,
TA100, TZ1537, TA1535, TA1537 and TA1538
Metabolic Activation System: Rat liver S9

Exposure

Definitive Dose: Doses were selected based on cytotoxic levels achieved in
range finding studies. Regenerative test – 6 doses of BD 40A
ranging from 8 - 4000 µg/disk; 3 doses of BD 177 ranging
from 8 to 200 µg/disk
Reversion test – 3 doses of BD 40A ranging from 40 - 1000
µg/plate; 3 doses of BD 177 ranging from 40 to 1000 µg/plate
both in the presence and absence of S9
Incubation Conditions: Regenerative test – 16 - 18 hr. at 37°C
Reversion test – 2 days at 37°C
Replicates: Three

Observations

Parameters Measured: Regenerative test – cell growth inhibition
Reversion test – number of revertant his⁺ colonies

RESULTS

BD 40A or BD 177 did inhibit cell growth under the conditions tested. No evidence of mutagenicity was revealed in the presence or absence of S9 metabolic activation.

CONCLUSION

Both BD 40A and BD 177 are non-mutagenic under the described conditions.

29. Mutagenicity Tests of Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A):Reversion of Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A)

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Study Title: Mutagenicity Tests of Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A):Reversion of Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A)
Sponsor Study No.: — D-7-2 (82809)
Study Dates: August 9, 1982 - June 10, 1983
Report Date: July 18, 1983
Test Facility: _____

GLP Status: Compliant with 21 CFR 58
NDA Volume:Page 63:102

METHODS

Test Materials

Test Articles: Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A)
Amine derivative (A-1)
Batch No: L-9
Purity: _____
Vehicle Control: Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO)
Positive Controls: N-ethyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine (ENNG) - 2 µg/plate
N-methyl-N'-nitro-N- nitrosoguanidine (MNNG) - 5 µg/plate
Sodium azide - 1 µg or 0.5 µg/plate
2-nitrofluorene (2-NF) - 2 µg or 1 µg/plate
9-aminoacridine (9-AA) - 80 µg/plate
2-aminoanthracene (2-ANTH) - 40 µg or 10 µg/plate
Benzo-[a]-pyrene (BP) - 5 µg
Dimethylnitrosamine (DMN) - 0.1 ml/plate

Test System

Assay System: Various strains of *S. typhimurium* and *E. Coli*
Metabolic Activation System: Rat liver S9

Exposure

Definitive Dose: Definitive doses were selected using data from cytotoxicity screens. The highest non-toxic concentration was used as the maximum dose.

- 8 doses of BD 404A ranging from 0.156 - 20 mg
- 5 doses of A1 ranging from 0.01 - 3 mg

Incubation Conditions: 2 days at 37°C
Replicates: At least two

Observations

Parameters Measured: Number of his⁺ (*S. typhimurium*) or trp⁺ (*E. Coli*) revertant colonies

RESULTS

The number revertant colonies in the presence of BD 40A or the breakdown product A1 was similar to those in the vehicle control group in all bacterial strains tested, both in the presence and the absence of metabolic activation by S9 fraction.

CONCLUSION

Both BD 40A and A1 are non-mutagenic under the described conditions.

30. Salmonella/Mammalian Microsome Mutagenicity Test**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Study Title: Salmonella/Mammalian Microsome Mutagenicity Test
Sponsor Study No.: 841042
Study Dates: November 13, 1984 - February 7, 1985
Report Date: March 22, 1985
Test Facility: CIBA-GEIGY Limited, Pharmaceuticals Division
Experimental Pathology Laboratories
Basle, Switzerland
GLP Status: Compliant with 21 CFR 58
NDA Volume:Page 63:131

METHODS**Test Materials**

Test Articles: CGP 25827A

Batch No: 810284
Purity: Not stated
Vehicle Control: Methanol (toxicity: 0.08 - 5000 µg/0.1ml; mutagenicity: 20 - 5000 µg/0.1ml)
Positive Control: Listed below

Test System

Assay System: Various strains of *Salmonella typhimurium* (listed below)
Metabolic Activation System: Rat liver S9

Exposure

Definitive Dose: Definitive doses were selected using data from cytotoxicity screens. The highest non-toxic concentration was used as the maximum dose. Five doses ranging from 20 - 5000 µg/0.1ml were used.
Incubation Conditions: 48 hours at 37°C
Replicates: Three

Observations

Parameters Measured: Number of his⁺ revertant colonies

Positive Control Materials for Each Tester Strain			
Without S9 Activation		With S9 Activation	
Strain	Positive Control	Strain	Positive Control
TA 98	daunorubicin-HCl (buffered)	TA 98	2-aminoanthracene
TA 100	4-nitroquinoline-N-oxide	TA 100	2-aminoanthracene
TA 102	mitomycin-C	TA 102	2-aminoanthracene
TA 1535	sodium azide	TA 1535	cyclophosphamide
TA 1537	aminoacrinide HCl monohydrate	TA 1537	2-aminoanthracene

RESULTS

The number revertant colonies in the presence of CGP 25827A was not different from negative controls.

CONCLUSION

CGP 25827A is non-mutagenic under the described conditions.

31. V79 Chinese Hamster Point Mutation Test**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Study Title: V79 Chinese Hamster Point Mutation Test
Sponsor Study No.: 841043
Study Dates: November 13, 1984 - February 7, 1985
Report Date: September 19, 1985 with September 1, 1987 amendment
Test Facility: CIBA-GEIGY Limited
Protection of Health and Environment
Experimental Pathology
Basle, Switzerland
GLP Status: Compliant with 21 CFR 58
NDA Volume:Page 63:148

METHODS**Test Materials**

Test Articles: CGP 25827A
Batch No: 810284
Purity: Not stated
Negative Control: Test medium
Positive Control: dimethylnitrosamine (DMN)

Test System

Assay System: Various strains of *Salmonella typhimurium* (listed below)
Metabolic Activation System: Rat liver S9

Exposure

Definitive Dose: Definitive doses were selected using data from cytotoxicity screens. The highest non-toxic concentration was used as the maximum dose. Eight doses ranging from 25.0 µg/ml - 1.0 mg/ml with microsomal activation and 8 doses ranging from 2.0 µg/ml - 80 µg/ml without activation were used.
Incubation Conditions: 48 hours at 37°C
Replicates: Three

Observations

Parameters Measured: Number of colonies resistant to 6-thioguanine (6-TG) or ouabain (OUA) in treated and control groups.

RESULTS

The number revertant colonies in the presence of CGP 25827A was not different from negative controls.

CONCLUSION

The described test is appropriately specific and sensitive to detect forward mutations including point mutations, frame-shift, and deletions. CGP 25827A is non-mutagenic under the described conditions.

32. DNA Repair Test on Rat Hepatocytes

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Study Title:	<u> </u> DNA Repair Test on Rat Hepatocytes
Sponsor Study No.:	841039
Study Dates:	January 10 - March 6, 1985
Report Date:	June 5, 1987
Test Facility:	CIBA-GEIGY Limited Protection of Health and Environment Experimental Pathology <u> </u> Basle, Switzerland
GLP Status:	Not stated
NDA Volume:Page	63:170

METHODS

Test Materials

Test Articles:	CGP 25827A
Batch No:	810284
Purity:	<u> </u>
Negative Control:	Test medium
Positive Control:	dimethylnitrosamine (DMN)

Test System

Assay System:	Rat hepatocytes
---------------	-----------------

Exposure

Definitive Dose:	Definitive doses were selected using data from cytotoxicity screens. The highest concentration for the definitive study was selected based on sufficient numbers of cells adhering to the coverslip; at least 25% cell viability; and a corresponding percentage of cell in good morphologic condition. Five doses ranging from .20 - 25 µg/ml were used.
------------------	---

Incubation Conditions: 6 days after washing
Replicates: Four

Observations

Parameters Measured: Number silver grains per nucleus upon _____ analysis.

RESULTS

There was no difference between treated and negative control groups in the number of silver grains per nucleus.

CONCLUSION

The described test is appropriately specific and sensitive to detect unscheduled DNA-synthesis as a consequence of DNA damage. CGP 25827A is non-mutagenic under the described conditions.

33. DNA Repair Test on Human Fibroblasts

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Study Title: _____ DNA Repair Test on Human Fibroblasts
Sponsor Study No.: 841041
Study Dates: January 10 - March 7, 1985
Report Date: June 5, 1987
Test Facility: CIBA-GEIGY Limited
Protection of Health and Environment
Experimental Pathology _____
Basle, Switzerland
GLP Status: Not stated
NDA Volume:Page 63:183

METHODS

Test Materials

Test Articles: CGP 25827A
Batch No: 810284
Purity: Not stated
Negative Control: Test medium
Positive Control: 4-nitroquinoline-N-oxide4 (NQO)

Test System

Assay System: Human fibroblasts

Exposure

Definitive Dose: Definitive doses were selected using data from cytotoxicity screens. The highest concentration for the definitive study was selected based on sufficient numbers of cells adhering to the coverslip; at least 25% cell viability; and a corresponding percentage of cell in good morphologic condition. Four doses ranging from 3.2 - 400 µg/ml were used.

Incubation Conditions: 6 hours after washing

Replicates: Not stated

Observations

Parameters Measured: Number silver grains per nucleus upon _____ analysis.

RESULTS

There was no difference between treated and negative control groups in the number of silver grains per nucleus.

CONCLUSION

The described test is appropriately specific and sensitive to detect unscheduled DNA-synthesis as a consequence of DNA damage. CGP 25827A is non-mutagenic under the described conditions.

34. Transformation/Liver Microsome Test (*In vitro* test for transformation-inducing properties in mammalian fibroblasts)

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Study Title: Transformation/Liver Microsome Test (*In vitro* test for transformation-inducing properties in mammalian fibroblasts)

Sponsor Study No.: 841044

Study Dates: September 25, 1986 - July 7, 1986

Report Date: October 10, 1986

Test Facility: CIBA-GEIGY Limited
Toxicology II
Basle, Switzerland

GLP Status: Compliant with 21 CFR 58

NDA Volume:Page 63:195

METHODS*Test Materials*

Test Articles: CGP 25827A
Batch No: 810284
Purity: Not stated
Negative Control: Solvent and Untreated test medium
Positive Control: Non-activated - methylcholanthrene (1.5 and 3.0 µg/ml)
Activated - 2-acetylaminofluorene (50 and 100 µg/ml)
Metabolic Activation System: Rat liver S9

Test System

Assay System: Mouse embryo fibroblasts (BALB/3T3)

Exposure

Definitive Dose: Definitive doses were selected using data from cytotoxicity screens. The highest concentration for the definitive study was selected as that which caused a 50% reduction in colony-forming ability when compared to the negative control. Five doses ranging from 1.313 - 21 µg/ml were used without activation and five doses ranging from 12.5 - 200 µg/ml were used with activation.

Incubation Conditions: 72 hours without activation and 24 hours with activation

Replicates: Fifteen

Observations

Parameters Measured: Number of transformed cells.

RESULTS

There was no difference between treated and negative control groups in the number of transformed cells.

CONCLUSION

The described test is appropriately specific and sensitive to detect morphologic changes due to transformation of mammalian cells induced by chemical substances. CGP 25827A did not induce cell transformations under the described conditions.

35. Chromosome Studies of Somatic Cells of Chinese Hamster**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Study Title: Chromosome Studies of Somatic Cells of Chinese Hamster
Sponsor Study No.: 841040

Study Dates: September 25, 1986 - July 7, 1986
Report Date: August 8, 1985
Test Facility: CIBA-GEIGY Limited
Protection of Health and Environment
Experimental Pathology
Basle, Switzerland
GLP Status: Compliant with 21 CFR 58
NDA Volume:Page 63:231

METHODS

Test Materials

Test Articles: CGP 25827A
Batch No: 810284
Purity: _____
Negative Control: Sodium carboxymethylcellulose (CMC)
Positive Control: Cyclophosphamide (intraperitoneal route)

Test System

Assay System: Somatic cells harvested from the bone marrow of treated Chinese hamsters.

Exposure

Definitive Dose: Definitive doses were selected using data from tolerability screens. The highest dose for the definitive study was selected as that which produced no deaths. Animals were dosed by oral gavage at levels of 80.5, 161, and 322 mg/kg.
Number of Animals: 4 animals/sex/treatment group and 6 animals/sex/control group

Observations

Parameters Measured: Morphologic evaluation of bone marrow cells for chromosome aberrations manifest as: 1.) breaks, exchanges, deletion, or fragmentation; 2.) gaps or decay; or 3.) numerical aberrations.

RESULTS

No specific aberrations were noted in chromosomes harvested from animals treated with CGP 25827A.

CONCLUSION

The described test is appropriately specific and sensitive to detect *in vivo* mutagenic effects (i.e., structural chromosomal aberrations) on somatic cells harvested from treated animals. CGP 25827A was non-mutagenic under the described conditions.

36. Mutagenicity Testes of Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A): Micronucleus test of Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A) in Mice**BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Study Title: Mutagenicity Testes of Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A):
Micronucleus test of Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A) in Mice

Sponsor Study No.: D-7-3 (Amendment No. 82806)

Study Dates: May 24, 1982 - June 4, 1982

Report Date: July 13, 1983

Test Facility: _____

GLP Status: Non-compliant; deficiencies identified

NDA Volume:Page 63:244

METHODS***Test Materials***

Test Articles: Formoterol fumarate (BD 40A)

Batch No: L-9

Purity: _____

Negative Control: 0.5% aqueous methylcellulose and untreated animals

Positive Control: Cyclophosphamide (intraperitoneal route)

Test System

Assay System: Somatic cells harvested from the bone marrow of treated male Crj:CD-1 mice.

Exposure

Definitive Dose: Definitive doses were selected using data from previous acute oral toxicity tests. The highest dose for the definitive study was equivalent to the LD₅. Animals received 2 doses by oral gavage at levels of 200, 400 and 800 mg/kg.

Number of Animals: 5 animals/ group

Observations

Parameters Measured: Morphologic evaluation of bone marrow cells for the incidence and appearance of polychromatic erythrocytes (PCEs).

RESULTS

There was no increased incidence of micronucleus cells per 1000 PCEs from animals treated with CGP 25827A when compared to negative controls.

CONCLUSION

The described test is appropriately specific and sensitive to detect *in vivo* effects (i.e., chromosome aberrations) in somatic cells harvested from treated animals. CGP 25827A did not induce clastogenic or mutagenic effects under the described conditions.

37. CGP 25827A: Micronucleus Test, Rat *In vivo* Study

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Study Title:	CGP 25827A: Micronucleus Test, Rat <i>In vivo</i> Study
Sponsor Study No.:	896251
Study Dates:	May 28, 1990 - September 5, 1990
Report Date:	October 31, 1990
Test Facility:	CIBA-GEIGY Limited Basel, Switzerland
GLP Status:	Compliant with 21 CFR 58
NDA Volume:Page	63:266

METHODS

Test Materials

Test Articles:	CGP 25827A
Batch No:	810187
Purity:	—
Negative Control:	Carboxymethylcellulose (CMC) 0.5%
Positive Control:	Cyclophosphamide (intraperitoneal route)

Test System

Assay System:	Somatic cells harvested from the bone marrow of treated male Crj:CD-1 mice.
---------------	---

Exposure

Definitive Dose:	Definitive doses were selected based on a ratio of the human dose. The high dose corresponds to approximately 25,000 times a human oral dose of 240 µg and 62,500 times a human inhaled dose of 96 µg. (This exceeds the anticipated human inhaled dose of — requested in the current application.) Dose levels and sacrifice intervals are presented below.
------------------	--

Number of Animals:	5 animals/group
--------------------	-----------------

Observations

Parameters Measured:	Morphologic evaluation of bone marrow cells for the incidence and appearance of polychromatic erythrocytes (PCEs).
----------------------	--

Dose Levels and Sacrifice Intervals for Parts 1 and 2 of Study

Part 1		Part 2	
Dose (mg/kg)	Sacrifice Interval (hours)	Dose (mg/kg)	Sacrifice Interval (hours)
100	16	25	24
100	24	50	24
100	48	100	24

RESULTS

There was no increased incidence of micronucleus cells per 1000 PCEs from animals treated with CGP 25827A when compared to negative controls.

CONCLUSION

The described test is appropriately specific and sensitive to detect *in vivo* effects (i.e., chromosome aberrations) in somatic cells harvested from treated animals. CGP 25827A did not induce clastogenic or mutagenic effects under the described conditions.

38. Chromosome Studies on Chinese Hamster Ovary Cell Line CCL 61 *In vitro***BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

Study Title: Chromosome Studies on Chinese Hamster Ovary Cell Line CCL 61
In vitro

Sponsor Study No.: 896209

Study Dates: February 12, 1990 - June 18, 1990

Report Date: November 8, 1990

Test Facility: CIBA-GEIGY Limited
Toxicology II
Basle, Switzerland

GLP Status: Compliant 21 CFR 58

NDA Volume:Page 63:297

METHODS***Test Materials***

Test Articles: CGP 25827A

Batch No: 810187

Purity: _____

Vehicle Control: Dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO)

Positive Control: Mitomycin-C; Cyclophosphamide

Test System**Assay System:** Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells (CCL 61 cell line)**Metabolic Activation System:** Rat liver S9**Observations****Parameters Measured:** Morphologic examination for chromosome aberrations**Exposure Conditions**

Experiment	Treatment	CGP 25827A ($\mu\text{g/ml}$)	S9	Recovery
Original				
#1	18 hr	46.88, 93.75, 187.5	without	0 hr
#1	3 hr	375.0, 751.0, 1500.0	with	15 hr
Confirmatory				
#1 & #2	18 hr	46.88, 93.75, 187.5	without	0 hr
#1 & #2	3 hr	375.0, 751.0, 1500.0	with	15 hr
#3	42 hr	46.88, 93.75, 187.5	without	0 hr
#4	3 hr	187.5, 375.0, 750.0	with	39 hr

RESULTS

In all experiments with CGP 25827A, chromosome aberrations in the treated groups were similar in incidence to those in the negative control group.

CONCLUSION

CGP 25827A is non-mutagenic under the described conditions.

Summary of Genetic Toxicology Studies

Formoterol fumarate is not mutagenic and not genotoxic as shown by an the extensive battery of test described. This provides further evidence that the carcinogenic responses observed in the studies previously reviewed are not of a genotoxic mechanism.

SPECIAL TOXICOLOGY STUDIES

The Sponsor included the several special studies in the submission and these are summarized in the table below.

Special Toxicology Studies			
Study Type	Methods	Findings	Ref.
Antigenicity in Mice	i.p. injection with measurement of anti-IgE antibodies.	No anti-CGP 25827A IgE antibodies were found.	D-6-2
Skin Sensitization in Guinea	sensitization and challenge	No dermal	840421

Study Type	Methods	Findings	Ref.
pigs 5-day IV Local Tolerability in Rabbits	phases 5 daily i.v. injections in ear vein with microscopic follow-up	sensitization was noted slight to moderate irritation at injection site; not different from control vehicle	855125
Skin Irritation in Rabbits	5-day exposure under patch	minimal to slight irritation on depilated skin; resolution within 24 hr	835278

Summary Special Toxicology Studies

The special toxicology studies are generally unremarkable.

OVERALL SUMMARY AND EVALUATION

Introduction

An NDA was submitted to support the safety and efficacy of a new drug, formoterol fumarate (Foradil™). The drug is indicated for the prevention and maintenance treatment of bronchoconstriction in patients 12 years of age and older with reversible obstructive airways disease, including patients with symptoms of nocturnal asthma, and for the prevention of exercise-induced bronchospasm. Formoterol fumarate will be administered twice daily via an Aeoliser™ oral inhalation device. The maximum daily dose is 12 mcg. This document is a review of the preclinical pharmacology and toxicology data submitted to support the safety of the drug for the proposed use in humans.

Fomoterol fumarate belongs in the beta-2-adrenoceptor agonist pharmacologic class.

Formoterol fumarate provides therapeutic benefit by relieving and preventing bronchoconstriction by relaxing airway smooth muscle via specific interaction with beta-2-adrenoceptors. The efficacy of fomoterol at beta-2-adrenoceptors has been measured in both functional airway smooth muscle relaxation and biochemical second messenger assays where cAMP were determined. High levels of agonism were demonstrated using conditions of induced tone or the presence of high levels of cholinergic agonists. Treatment with formoterol or other beta-2-adrenoceptor agonists is associated with reassertion relaxation, suggesting that it is functionally retained in or near the beta-2-adrenoceptor despite extensive washing of *in vitro* airway smooth muscle preparations.

The onset of action of formoterol appears to be comparable to that of albuterol, yet the duration of action appeared consistently greater than that of isoproterenol or albuterol. The onset of action was reported to be 1.7 ± 0.3 minutes for formoterol, 0.8 ± 0.2 minutes for albuterol, and 17.6 ± 5.0 minutes for salmeterol when administered to guinea pig isolated trachea. (Jeppson et al., 1989). The duration of action of formoterol was in excess of 6 hours in isolated human bronchus (Advenier et al, 1991).

Bronchoselectivity was demonstrated in studies with Fomoterol fumarate, however increases in both the force and rate of the myocardium were observed after administration of high doses to animals. The Sponsor reports that these changes are likely attributed to: "(i) a subdominant beta-2-adrenoceptor pop reflex compensation for decreased peripheral resistance caused by beta-2-adrenoceptor mediated relaxation of arterial smooth muscle and (ii) direct stimulation of a subdominant beta-2-andrenoceptor population coupled to ionotrophy and chronotrophy in the heart."

The Sponsor also addressed binding, potency, and selectivity of the RR and SS enantiomers of Fomoterol, but the data are inconclusive since complete separation of the constituent enantiomers was not demonstrated.

The pharmacodynamic effects of Formoterol fumarate were consistent with those that would be expected of a selective beta-2-andrenoceptor agonist.

Scope of Evaluation

A comprehensive data base of studies was submitted and reviewed to support the safety of Formoterol fumarate, including:

- genotoxicity studies (a total of 11 studies);
- acute, subchronic, and chronic toxicity studies in mice, rats and/or dogs via oral and inhalation routes of administration (a total of 44 studies were considered in this review and 13 studies were considered in previous reviews);
- reproduction and teratology studies covering all phases (a total of 5);
- absorption, metabolism, distribution, and excretion studies (a total of 52 studies);
- four carcinogenicity studies (two each in mice and rats).

The studies were, for the most part, adequately designed and performed in accordance with Good Laboratory Practice standards, except where otherwise noted in the individual reviews of each study. Findings of toxicity were consistent with the pharmacologic action of beta-2-adrenoceptor agonists. Formoterol fumarate was not mutagenic or teratogenic in the assays submitted.

APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL

Safety Evaluation

A safety concern with Formoterol fumarate, as with any known beta agonist, is the potential for cardiotoxicity. This effect was demonstrated in the animal studies and manifests as increased heart rate and force, reddening of the mouth and ventral surface and myocardial degeneration in dogs treated by the inhalation route at levels as low as 3 $\mu\text{g/kg/day}$. The clinical signs were observed at the onset of dosing and the myocardial fibrosis was evident within one month (926074). At an inhaled level of 15.16 $\mu\text{g/kg/day}$ for one year, the clinical sign was evident but the myocardial fibrosis was not (936116). The AUC for dogs dosed orally at 0.1 mg/kg is 101.6 nmol·h/l, which is 191 times the expected maximum human daily dose AUC.

In rodents, effects on the heart were evidenced as increases in heart weight and myocardial fibrosis. Increased heart weights were observed in rats dosed by the inhalation route as early as 6 months at a level as low as 30 $\mu\text{g/kg/day}$. However these rats did not show myocardial fibrosis. In fact, myocardial fibrosis was not noted after inhalation treatment until after one year and only in males at a dose of 400 $\mu\text{g/kg/day}$ (or 0.4 mg/kg/day).

Clinical Relevance of Safety Issues

The cardiotoxicity of beta agonists appears to be a consequence of their pharmacologic activity. The effect on the heart is first seen as an increased heart rate, which could be a consequence of a direct interaction with beta receptors in the heart, but is most probably the result of reflex tachycardia, secondary to beta-2-mediated vasodilation and hypotension. Once this effect reaches excessive levels, ischemic changes occur since the oxygen supply can no longer be maintained. This results in focal necrosis and subsequent fibrosis in the anaerobic regions. The papillary muscle of the left ventricle appears to be particularly sensitive. If the effects on the heart result from pharmacologic activity of the agents it follows that their potency as cardiotoxins will be related to their potency as beta agonists. This was confirmed in a direct experimental comparison in dogs in which formoterol was found to be 10 times more active than _____ at inducing increased heart rate and cardiac lesions, which is keeping with the potency of these two compounds as beta agonist. If excessive tachycardia is a prerequisite for cardiotoxicity, no effects are to be expected at doses which do not increase the heart rate. Clinical experience in humans shows that doses below 72 $\mu\text{g/day}$ do not effect heart rate. Thus, the proposed — 1g/day maximum human dose appears to be within an acceptable safety margin for cardiotoxicity.

Other Clinically Relevant Issues

No other clinically relevant issues were identified for Formoterol fumarate. Toxicity manifests as exaggerated pharmacodynamic effects of beta agonists. The effects

observed with Formoterol fumarate were consistent with those described in the literature for other beta agonists.

Conclusions

Taken together, the data submitted supports the safety of Formoterol fumarate under the proposed conditions of use. Adequate safety margins appear to exist for inhalation exposure, although additional data is being sought to make valid plasma level comparisons between animals and humans.

Formoterol fumarate was not genotoxic or mutagenic in any of the assays submitted. The following table summarizes major findings and the dose levels at which they occur.

Summary of Notable Findings and Dose Ratios to Adult Humans on a mg/m³ Basis

Assay	Species	Route	Dose (mg/kg)	Dose (mg/m ³)	Dose Ratio for Adults	Effect
1-Year Chronic	Dog	Oral	.01	0.2	6	Myocardial fibrosis
1-Year Chronic	Dog	Inhale	0.015	0.3	8	NOAEL
1-Year Chronic	Rat	Inhale	0.030	0.18	5	NOAEL
1-Year Chronic	Rat	Inhale	0.120	0.72	20	Degeneration of seminiferous tubules
10Year Chronic	Rat	Inhale	0.4	2.4	70	Myocardial fibrosis
Reproduction and Developmental Toxicology	Rat	Oral	6	36	1000	Stillbirth and neonatal death
Carcinogenicity	Rat	Water	15	90	2500	Ovarian leiomyoma
Carcinogenicity	Rat	Diet	20	60	3400	Ovarian leiomyoma
Carcinogenicity	Mouse	Diet	2	6	170	Ovarian leiomyoma + leiomyosarcoma
Carcinogenicity	Mouse	Diet	20	60	1700	Hepatocellular carcinoma
Carcinogenicity	Mouse	Diet	50	150	4200	Testicular tubular atrophy
Carcinogenicity	Mouse	Water	267	801	22,000	Adrenal subcapsular adenoma + carcinoma

Language to be used in Letter to Sponsor

The language reported under the Recommendations section below can be used in communication with the Sponsor.

RECOMMENDATIONS

1. It was noted during the course of the review of the dietary carcinogenicity studies that the incidence of some findings in the statistical analysis does not exactly match that reported in the summary incidence tables. For example, in the mouse dietary study,

the incidence of benign hepatoma reported in the incidence table (v.49 p. 176) differs from that presented in the statistical analysis (v.49, p. 428), as illustrated in the following table.

Incidence of Benign Hepatoma in the
Incidence Table and Statistical Analysis

Group	Reference	
	v.49 p.176	v.49 p. 428
0	18/85	24/85
2	19/85	24/85
5	21/85	31/85
20	24/84	33/84
50	15/85	25/85

The Sponsor should recheck their submission and clarify the discrepancies.

2. The AUC data play a critical role in the evaluation of the safety of Formoterol fumarate. The Sponsor noted in their submission that there were difficulties with the method(s) used to evaluate plasma levels in animals studies. The values provided are much higher than would reasonably be expected in a drug of this type and class. In addition, we note that the Cmax identified in the mouse dietary carcinogenicity study is 6.3 nmol/l for a 50 mg/kg/day dose, far below the number provided in the mouse drinking water study (AUC = 4300 nmol/ml) and used for comparison to humans.

The values from the dietary study seem more realistic based on the dose and thus we are concerned with the claimed exceeding large dose multiples between humans and animals. Specifically, we are concerned with reported exposure data (AUC, Cmax, etc.) associated with the carcinogenicity studies, reproductive and development studies, and the chronic toxicity studies. The Sponsor should provide realistic exposure information for these studies, or provide an explanation of why such data are not attainable. We note that in humans levels as low as an AUC of 1.33 nmol·h/l based on an inhaled dose of 120 µg, is measurable.

APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL

3. The Sponsor should report the assumed deposition factor for the inhalation studies included in this submission.

15/ 5/28/98
Tracey Zoetis, M.S.
Pharmacology/Toxicology Reviewer

15/ 5.28.98
Hilary Sheevers, Ph.D.
Team Leader

Original NDA 20,831

cc HFD-570/Division File
HFD-570/H. Sheevers
HFD-570/P. Jani
HFD-570/T. Zoetis

ATTACHES THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL

REFERENCES

- Aguado, L.I., Petrovic, S.L., and Ojeda, S.R. 1982. Ovarian β -adrenergic receptors during the onset of puberty: characterization, distribution, and coupling to steroidogenic responses. *Endocrinology* 110:1124-1132.
- Dyer, C.A., and Erickson, G.F. 1985. Norepinephrine amplifies human chorionic gonadotropin-stimulated androgen biosynthesis by ovarian theca-interstitial cells. *Endocrinology* 116:1645-1652.
- Gibson, J.P., Sells, D.M., Cheng, H.C., and Yuh, L. 1987. Induction of Uterine Leiomyomas in Mice by Medroxalol and Prevention by Propranol. *Toxicologic Pathology* 15(4):468-473.
- Goodman, J.I., Ward, J.M., Popp, J.A., Klaunig, J.E., and Fox, T.R. 1991. Mouse Liver Carcinogenesis: Mechanisms and Relevance. *Fundamental and Applied Toxicology* 17:651-665.
- Jack, D., Poynter, D. and Spurling, N.W. 1983. Beta-adrenoceptor stimulants and mesovarian leiomyomas in the rat. *Toxicology* 28:315-320.
- Kaumann, A.J. and Lemoine, H. 1985. Direct labeling of myocardial beta-1-adrenoceptors. Comparison of the binding potency of 3H-(-)-bisoprolol with its blocking potency. *Naunyn-Schmied Arch Pharmacol* 331:27-39.
- Kelly, W.A., Marler, R.J., and Weikel, J.H. 1993. Drug-induced mesovarial leiomyomas in the Rat - A review and additional data. *Journal of the American College of Toxicology* 12:13-22.
- Kompta, A.R., Molenaar, P., Summers, R.J. 1985. Beta-adrenoceptor regulation and functional responses in the guinea-pig following chronic administration of the long acting beta 2-adrenoceptor agonist formoterol. *Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol* 351(6):576-88.
- Kompta, A.R., Molenaar, P., Summers, R.J. 1994 (in press). Quantitative autoradiographic and functional analysis of the long acting beta-2 adrenoceptor agonist formoterol on beta adrenoceptors in the guinea pig cardiorespiratory system. *J. of Pharmacol and Exp Ther.*
- Lemoine, H., Ehle, B., and Kaumann, A.J. 1985. Direct labeling of beta-2-adrenoceptors. Comparison of the binding potency of 3H-ICI 118, 551 and blocking potency of ICI 118,551. *Naunyn-Schmied Arch Pharmacol* 331:40-51.
- Lemoine, H., Overlack, C., Kohl, A., Worth, H. and Reinhardt D. 1992a. Formoterol, fenoterol, and salbutamol as partial agonists for relaxation of maximally contracted

guinea-pig tracheae: comparison of relaxation with receptor binding. Lung 170(3):163-80.

Lemoine, H., Overlack, C. 1992b. Highly potent beta-2 sympathomimetics convert to less potent partial agonists as relaxants of guinea pig tracheae maximally contracted by carbachol. Comparison of relaxation with receptor binding and adenylate cyclase stimulation. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 261(1):258-70.

Marsh, J.M. 1975. The role of cyclic AMP in gonadal function. Advances in Cyclic Nucleotide Research 6:137-199.

Sells, D.M. and Gibson, J.P. 1987. Carcinogenicity Studies with Medroxalol Hydrochloride in Rats and Mice. Toxicologic Pathology 15(4):457-467.

Snipes, M.B. 1989. Species Comparisons for Pulmonary Retention of Inhaled Particles. In McClellen, R.O. and Henderson, R.F., eds. Concepts in Inhalation Toxicology. Hemisphere Publishing Corporation, Washington, pp. 163 - 186.

Wolff, R.K. and Dorato, M.A., 1993. Toxicologic Testing of Inhaled Pharmaceutical Aerosols. Critical Reviews in Toxicology, 23(4):343-369.

APPEARS THIS WAY
ON ORIGINAL